

# SYSTEMA Debris

### Version 4.9.1

## **User Manual**

September 2021 Ref: UM.000254385.AIRB

Document issue: 1





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 2 of 38

Page Left Intentionally Blank





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 3 of 38

Use of the software and of the present software tutorial is submitted to a license agreement to be accepted before the software installation on a computer.

All suggestion or error concerning the software or this software manual can be sent to:

#### AIRBUS Defence and Space For the attention of Mr. M. Lepilliez

Z.I. du Palays 31 rue des Cosmonautes 31402 TOULOUSE CEDEX 4 FRANCE





Reference:	UM.000254385.AIRB
Issue:	1
Edition:	28/09/2021
Page:	4 of 38

Page Left Intentionally Blank





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 5 of 38

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introc	duction	7
II.	Debri	s analysis description	8
	1.	Generation of a realistic satellite model and meshing	9
	1. 1	Model	9
	1. 2	Meshing	19
	2.	Backward ray tracing as a support to physical equation	22
	3.	Ballistic limit equations	23
	1. 1	One wall standard equation	24
	1. 2	Two walls standard equation	25
	1.3	Undersized bumper modelling with Systema-Debris	26
	1.4	SRL equation	29
	1.5	Crater sized equation	30
	4.	Generation of a realistic debris/micrometeoroid environment	31
	5.	Penetration flux	33
	6.	Probability of Failure	35
	7.	Generalities	37
	8.	References	38





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 6 of 38

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure II-1: Systema Debris analysis process
Figure II-2: Geometrical example model
Figure II-3: Model Tree
Figure II-4: Debris tab
Figure II-5: One wall standardized equation11
Figure II-6: Two wall standardized equation12
Figure II-7: SRL standardized equation
Figure II-8: Crater standardized equation
Figure II-9: Equipment one wall
Figure II-10: Structural one wall
Figure II-11: Structural two walls
Figure II-12: MLI wall
Figure II-13: Impenetrable wall
Figure II-14: Projected wall
Figure II-15: Inheritance principle for numbering example
Figure II-16: Meshing group
Figure II-17: Backward ray tracing example 22
Figure II-18: Single wall configuration
Figure II-19: Double-wall configuration25
Figure II-20: Critical particle diameter as a function of impact velocity and various shield thicknesses— modified equations [4]
Figure II-21: Reimerdes equation for undersized bumpers option
Figure II-22: Merge bumper if spacing is not correct
Figure II-23: SRL configuration
Figure II-24: Standard environment interface
Figure II-25: Bin definition
Figure II-26: Run parameters of Systema-Debris
Figure II-27: Results output example computed by Debris
Figure II-28: Debris color risk images
Figure II-29: Systema-Debris ray type display
Figure II-30: Systema-Debris ray number of impact display





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 7 of 38

#### I. Introduction

Due to their high velocity, micrometeoroids and small orbital debris represent a threat to spacecraft or its components. Larger on-orbit objects are tracked and orbit changes manoeuvres are performed to avoid a collision. For non-trackable objects, shields or other means are used to control risks. The amount of debris in space is continuously increasing. The prevention of critical damages on sensitive surfaces that might compromise mission and lifetime, interest more and more the satellite design engineers. In order to improve the design of the spacecraft, impact risk assessment tools Systema-Debris was developed.

The aim of a risk assessment is to identify the spacecraft components sensitive to MMOD, provide inputs to assess the spacecraft reliability and, if necessary, support the implementation of shielding to improve spacecraft survivability. In order to perform a risk assessment analysis under a specific environment, it is necessary to evaluate whether or not the impacting particle will penetrate the sensitive target. A variety of ballistic limit equations have been implemented for many types of structural wall configurations to identify the minimum critical particle diameter that can penetrate the target. These equations depend on several parameters like the size and the density of the particle, the material type and thickness of the impacted target, and the speed of the impacting particle, which makes the analysis difficult to perform without the support of dedicated software like Systema-Debris.

The Whipple shield equation [4] allows computing the critical particle diameter, and it is assumed that the bumper shield is well designed which means its thickness is sufficient to fragment the impacting particle. Some elements like MLI, already present on the spacecraft design, can act as a bumper to the sensitive components. As they are designed for thermal needs, they are most of the time undersized. Reimerdes [2] modified the Whipple shield equation to take into account the bumper thickness. This methodology has been implemented into Systema-Debris to help the user to find mass effective protection concepts with undersized bumpers.

The purpose of this document is to provide a user tutorial in order to handle Debris module and to correctly conduct a MMOD risk assessment analysis on Systema-Debris. MMOD risk assessment theory is not detailed in this document.





#### II. Debris analysis description

The following figure summarizes the whole MMOD risk assessment analysis process which has to be conducted to perform a simulation on Systema-Debris.



Figure II-1: Systema Debris analysis process

The different parts of the analysis are presented in the next chapters.





#### 1. Generation of a realistic satellite model and meshing

The generation of a realistic model is done in Systema-Debris 3D graphical user interface allowing an adapted modelling from CAD files. During this step, BLE are chosen and adapted to the considered geometrical shielding configurations, material and mechanical properties are assigned to the geometries. The model is then used to generate a meshing.

Refer to SYSTEMA User Guide (Chapter 4: "Geometrical Model Management") for more details on the modeller Systema.

#### 1.1 Model

Figure II-2 shows an example of a spacecraft configuration modelled with Systema-Debris.



Figure II-2: Geometrical example model

Some good practice:

- Modelling pieces such as bolts, screws etc. are not necessaries.
- Debris compute results only on positive side. Check on 3D view configuration/shape orientation the orientation of the shapes.
- Quadrangles and triangles shapes are recommended to ensure the surfaces enclosure.
- Cutters (to cut holes in shapes) are very convenient to model complex geometries.
- To make a cleaner model and to make it more readable, it is preferable to create as many object as possible to detail the model tree especially if those includes several shapes. A random example of model tree organization is given Figure II-3.
- It is recommended to group every sensitive item in the same object to make the analysis easier (apply properties to a group of shapes, create a group on the mesh tab for a sensitive element composed by a group of shapes).



Figure II-3: Model Tree





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 10 of 38

Applicative properties on shape or group of shapes are defined on debris tab (Figure II-4).

Bulk			
Inherited configu	Iration		\$
Element mode	lling		
Element con	figuration	Overload	Revert
Element choice			
Structure: One	e Wall		<b>\$</b>
Thickness			
0.001			m
Density			<i>\</i>
2713			kg/m3
Standard equat	ion for the compu	tation of PNF	
No Computation	n		\$

#### Figure II-4: Debris tab

The user can chose 3 configurations on the Debris tab for an object:

No computation

There is no computation of the numbers of penetrations on this object. However the element is taken into account in the overall computation as a shadowing surface.

• Custom parameters

There is a computation of the numbers of penetrations on this element. The ballistic limit equation used by default for all the configurations encountered is a user-defined parametric equation based on the SRL equation.

• Implemented equation

There is a computation of the numbers of penetrations on this element. Standardized ballistic limit equation are implemented on Debris and the user can change the material and thickness properties of the element (see §3) and the coefficients.





If the user chose **implemented equation**, he can use standardized equations with pre-defined or customized coefficients. See §3 for more details on the ballistic limit equations.

The user can choose what standardized equation he wants used depending on the configuration: one, two or three wall or if he wants to compute the crater size.

- One wall cases
  - o Standard equation

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the one wall configuration (direct impact) is the SRL equation [6].

o Christiansen 1993

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the one wall configuration (direct impact) is the Christiansen equation [4].

o Custom parameters

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the one wall configuration (direct impact) is a user-defined parametric equation for single wall.



Figure II-5: One wall standardized equation





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 12 of 38

- Two wall cases
  - o Standard equation

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the two wall configuration (direct impact) is the SRL equation [6].

o Christiansen 1993

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the two walls configuration is the Whipple shield equation given in Christiansen [4].

• Custom parameters – 1 velocity domain

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the two walls configuration is a user-defined parametric equation for multiple walls taking into account only one velocity domain. The limit angle has to be given. For oblique impact over the limit angle, the critical diameter is set for the critical diameter at the limit angle:

$$d_c(\theta > \theta_{lim}) = d_c(\theta = \theta_{lim})$$

o Custom parameters – 3 velocity domains

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the two walls configuration is a user-defined parametric equation for multiple walls taking into account three velocity domains (ballistic, hypervelocity and transition regions).

The limit angle and the normal component limit velocities of the velocity domains (ballistic  $v_{t1n}$  and hypervelocity  $v_{t2n}$ ) have to be given.



Figure II-6: Two wall standardized equation





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 13 of 38

- SRL case
  - o Standard equation

The equation used to compute the critical diameter in the three walls configuration is the SRL equation [6].

• No computation

There is no computation of the numbers of penetrations in the three walls configuration for this element. If this configuration is encountered by the element, it is considered shadowed.



Figure II-7: SRL standardized equation





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 14 of 38

- Crater standardized equation
  - No crater computation

There is no computation of the numbers of craters on this element.

o Christiansen

The equation used to compute the penetration depth is the single wall equation given by Christiansen [4].

The required penetration depths [m], the Brinell hardness, the sound speed [km/s] in the material and the crater factor kc (ratio of the crater radius to the crater depth) have to be given.

o Custom parameters

The equation used to compute the penetration depth is a user-defined parametric equation for single wall.

#### The required penetration depth [m] has to be given.





Figure II-8: Crater standardized equation





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 15 of 38

The user starts choosing it element choice: equipment, structure, MLI, impenetrable and projected wall (see below). On equipment and structure elements, user needs to choose an equation for one, two, three and crater case. See §3 for more details on the ballistic limit equations.

#### a. Equipment: One Wall

The **equipment one wall** elements behave as the structure ones to the exception that they are considered as shadowing surfaces for others elements. It is assumed that a particle cannot impact another element if it has already encountered an equipment.



Element configuration	Remove	Revert
Element choice		
Equipment: One Wall		\$
Thickness		₩
0.001		m
Density		₩
2713		kg/m3
MLI Thickness (SRL only)		₩
0		m
MLI Stand-Off To Equipment (SRL only)		₩
0		m
Standard equation for the computation of	f PNF	
No Computation		\$

#### Figure II-9: Equipment one wall





#### b. Structure: One Wall

The **structure one wall** elements have no particular behaviour attached and can be used to describe the spacecraft at a structural level for example.



Element configuration Remove	Revert
Element choice	
Structure: One Wall	\$
Thickness	₩
0.001	m
Density	₩
2713	kg/m3
Standard equation for the computation of PNF	
No Computation	\$

Figure II-10: Structural one wall





#### c. Structure: Two Wall

A **structure element two walls** are composed of two shapes; one modelled shape and one fictive shape define by the user parameters in terms of spacing from the modelled shape, thickness, density, etc. It can be a honeycomb panel, for example.



Element configuration	Remove	Revert
Element choice		
Structure: Two Walls		\$
Thickness		₩
0.001		m
Density		₩
2713		kg/m3
Spacing		₩
0.1		m
Second Wall Thickness		₩
0.002		m
Second Wall Density		₩
2713		kg/m3
MLI Thickness (SRL only)		₩
0		m
Standard equation for the computation	of PNF	
No Computation		\$

#### Figure II-11: Structural two walls





#### d. MLI

The **MLI** elements can only be used associated to the SRL equation in the case of stand-of MLI. If the **MLI** element is associated with an element which is not using the SRL equation, it will be considered as a shadowing element.

Equipment OW	Structure OW Structure TW	MLI Impenetrable	Projected wall
	Element configuration	Remove Revert	
MLI		\$	
	MLI Thickness (SRL only)	₩	
	0	m	

Element choice

#### Figure II-12: MLI wall

#### e. Impenetrable

If a ray hit an impenetrable element, it stops.

	Element	choice	
Equipment OW	Structure OW Structure TW	MLI Impenetrable	Projected wall
	Element configuration	Remove Revert	
	Impenetrable	\$	
		0	

#### Figure II-13: Impenetrable wall

#### f. Projected wall

If a ray hit a **projected wall** element, it will add it thickness to the emitted surface thickness.

Element choice

Equipment OW	Structure OW Structure TW	MLI Impenetrable	Projected wall
	Element configuration	Remove Revert	
	Projected Wall	<b>•</b>	
	0.001	m	
	2713	kg/m3	

Figure II-14: Projected wall





#### 1.2 Meshing

The entire geometrical model does not have to be meshed to perform a debris analysis. The impact/penetration computation is only performed on the meshed elements, however non-meshed elements are considered in the computation with their properties defined in the model tab. A group of shapes can be stored in a group-specific item. It allows obtaining results on the output file.

#### a. Meshing choice

The meshing of shapes consists in splitting the surfaces according to a certain number of meshes in each principal direction: this is the "a, b & c" meshing library.

For the debris application, there is two ways of setting the "a, b & c" parameters: either directly, or by choosing a Length. In this case, the "a, b & c" parameters will be adjusted so that the characteristic size of the meshes correspond to the chosen length. For revolution shapes, a second parameter is available: the maximum angle which allows defining a minimum angular sector to mesh with ("a" parameter).

The three parameters: "a", "b", "c" defines the number of desired intervals in a specific direction.

- Parameters "a" & "b": refer to all two-dimensional elemental surfaces.
- Parameter "c": refers to three-dimensional surfaces.

The following shapes may be distinguished:

• Triangle, rectangle, quadrangle



• Disk, cylinder, cone, parabola, antenna, sphere

Parameter	Segmentation based on:
а	angular sector





ence: UM.000254385.AIRB 1 n: 28/09/2021 20 of 38

Parameter	Shape	Segmentation based on:
b	disk	radius
	cone, cylinder	height
	sphere, parabola	curvilinear abscissa in iso
	antenna	radius

#### • Polygons

The meshing corresponds to the number of desired intervals in a specific direction. This does not apply to the polygon which is a particular case because it is divided in triangles and, consequently, only the "a" parameter will have an impact as regards the detail of surface triangulation.

#### b. Meshing numbering

It consists in numbering the surfaces for assigning a number to meshes and defining an increment value. Actually, it is an increment used for the global numbering of the model or a sub-part of the model to obtain a mesh network with a meaningful numbering system.

#### Example:



#### Figure II-15: Inheritance principle for numbering example

#### c. Meshing group

During the computation, the different quantities are cumulated on meshes. If the user wants to have integrated quantities on a reduce part of the spacecraft, the mesh level or even the surface level may be too fine. That is why the concept of groups has been naturally introduced. A group is thus a set of surfaces on which integrated quantities are cumulated (by cumulating the integrated quantities on the corresponding surfaces). The corresponding group quantities are stored in spread sheet report file for direct text access.

The Probability of No-Failure is only computed on a group level.





To create a group from selection:

1. Open the meshing model

2. Select one or several shapes of the geometrical model representing the set of shapes of the group to account for.

3. Via the right click menu, select **Create specific meshing item -> group**.

4. A window opens (see Figure II-16). In the General Tab, it can be checked that the correct shapes are referenced.



Figure II-16: Meshing group





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 22 of 38

#### 2. Backward ray tracing as a support to physical equation

The fluxes from the environment model are projected onto the satellite geometry allowing tracing the trajectory of each particle through the satellite structure. It tracks which layer of which material have been impacted by the particle before hitting the equipment. On each ray, application of standards IADC and ECSS recommended ballistic equations allows the software to check whether or not the particle being traced penetrates the sensitive element. Shadowing effects are captured by Systema-Debris.

Figure II-17 shows an example of the backward raytracing of Systema-Debris.



Figure II-17: Backward ray tracing example





#### 3. Ballistic limit equations

Ballistic Limit Equations (BLE) are semi-empirical equations, developed from HVI tests and analysis and used for numerical modelling of the MMOD risk assessment. They are used to evaluate the **critical diameter**  $d_c$  of a configuration as function of its design and the characteristic of the particle impactor.

BLE for a specific configuration is a function f of the form:

 $d_{c} = f(V, \theta, t_{w}, \rho_{w}, \rho_{p}, \sigma, k)$ 

Conditions {A, B, C ... }

With usual parameters:

- *V* = projectile velocity
- $\rho_t$  = target density
- $t_t$  = target thickness
- $\theta$  = impact angle from target normal
- $\rho_p$  = projectile density
- $\sigma$  = Yield stress or Brinell hardness of the target
- k a damage parameter allowing to select failure mode other than penetration
- *Conditions* {*A*, *B*, *C* ...} a set of conditions that have to be verified for the equation to be valid.

**Critical diameter** $d_c$ : if the incoming particle is smaller than  $d_c$ , the surface successfully stops the particle. If the incoming particle is bigger than  $d_c$  the surfaces fails at stopping the particle.

This critical diameter linked with an environment model and geometry can be used for computing the penetrating flux.

Main difficulty of risk assessment is to use the correct equation to describe:

- The geometry encounters by the penetrating particle.
- The type of failure of the sensitive element.

4 parametric equations are implemented in Systema-Debris:

- One wall standard equation,
- Two walls standard equation,
- Schäfer Ryan Lambert (SRL) equation,
- Crater sized standard equation.





#### 1. 1 One wall standard equation

For the single-wall configuration, user can customize the coefficient in order to obtain the desire BLE as shown equation (1) provided in [4].



#### Figure II-18: Single wall configuration

$$d_{c} = \left[\frac{t_{w}}{K_{f} \cdot K_{1} \cdot v^{\gamma} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{\xi} \cdot \rho_{p}^{\beta} \cdot \rho_{t}^{\kappa}}\right]^{1/\lambda}$$
(1)

Where

- **BHN** = Brinell hardness of the target
- Ct = speed of sound in the target (km/s)
- dc = critical projectile diameter on threshold of given damage mode (cm)
- K<sub>f</sub> = damage parameter, either 1.8, 2.2, or 3.0 for perforation, detached spall or incipient attached spall
- ρ<sub>p</sub> = projectile density (g/cm3)
- ρ<sub>t</sub> = target density (g/cm3)
- t<sub>w</sub> = rear wall thickness (cm)
- $\theta$  = impact angle from target normal (deg);  $\theta$  = 0° impacts normal to target
- v = projectile velocity (km/s)

It is possible to use the predefined Christiansen equation (Table II-1) or to modify the parameters of the equation directly into the Systema-Debris interface.

Equation	K <sub>f</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	β	γ	к	λ	ξ
Christiansen 1993 [3] $\rho_p/\rho_w < 1.5$	1.8	$5.24 \cdot BHN^{-1/4} \cdot C_s^{-2/3}$	1/2	2/3	-1/2	19/18	2/3

#### Table II-1: Christiensen direct impact equation





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 25 of 38

#### 1.2 Two walls standard equation

For the double-wall configuration, user can also customize the coefficient in order to obtain the desire BLE as shown equation (2) provided in [4].



Figure II-19: Double-wall configuration

$$d_{c} = \left[\frac{t_{w} + k_{2} \cdot t_{b}^{\mu} \cdot \rho_{b}^{\nu_{2}}}{k_{1} \cdot \rho_{p}^{\beta} \cdot \nu^{\gamma} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{\xi} \cdot \rho_{t}^{\kappa} \cdot S^{\delta} \cdot \rho_{b}^{\nu_{1}}}\right]^{1/\lambda}$$
(2)

Where

- dc = critical projectile diameter at shield failure threshold (cm)
- $\rho_p$  = projectile density (g/cm3)
- $\rho_t$  = target density (g/cm3)
- t<sub>w</sub> = rear wall thickness (cm)
- t<sub>b</sub> = bumper wall thickness (cm)
- $\mathbf{\theta}$  = impact angle from target normal (deg); note impact at  $\mathbf{\theta}$  =0 deg is normal to the target.
- *v* = projectile velocity (km/s)
- S = spacing (cm)

It is possible to use the predefined Wipple Shield equation (Table II-2) or to modify the parameters of the equation directly into the Systema-Debris interface.

Equa	ation	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	β	δ	γ	κ	λ	μ	v <sub>1</sub>	ν2	Ł
n 1993 [3]	V<3 km/s	$0.6\cdot \left(\sigma_{y,ksi}/40\right)^{-1/2}$	$\left(\sigma_{y,ksl}/40\right)^{-1/2}$	1/2	0	2/3	0	19/18	1	0	0	5/3
Christianse	V>7 km/s	$\left[3.918 \left(\sigma_{y,ksi}/70\right)^{1/3}\right]^{-3/2}$	0	1/2	-1/2	1	0	3/2	0	1/6	0	1

#### Table II-2: Wipple Shield indirect impact equation

The Wipple Shield equations assume that the bumper thickness is adequate to fragment the projectile at high velocities. For undersized bumpers, the computing process is presented below.





#### 1. 3 Undersized bumper modelling with Systema-Debris

The conditions of validity of the Christiansen equation are:

- The material of the first wall have the desirable qualities of a bumper.
- The standoff between the first wall and the rear wall (S) must be high enough in regard of the critical diameter to allow the expansion of the debris cloud, typically a factor 15 [1].
- The **bumper must be thick enough to fragment the particle** and verifies "the bumper condition". If this condition is not validated, the Cour-Palais/Christiansen equation is used to modelling an undersized bumper.

#### a. Undersized thickness bumper

Christiansen equations assume that the bumper thickness is adequate to fragment the projectile at high velocities, i.e., the bumper thickness must verify:

$$t_b^{sized} = \frac{c_b d_c \rho_p}{\rho_b}$$
(3)

Where

- t<sub>b</sub><sup>sized</sup> = bumper thickness sized (cm)
- $\mathbf{c_b} = \text{coefficient } 0.25 \text{ when } \text{S/d} < 30, \text{ and } \mathbf{c_b} = 0.2 \text{ when } \text{S/d} \ge 30$
- **d**<sub>c</sub> = projectile diameter (cm)
- ρ<sub>p</sub> = projectile density (g/cm3)
- $ρ_t$  = target density (g/cm3)

for Vn = 7 km/s. If:

- t ≥ t<sub>b</sub><sup>sized</sup>: the Christiansen equations can be applied. However the bumper is oversized and extra bumper mass will not improve shielding performance.
- $t = t_h^{sized}$ : the bumper is optimized. The protection is optimal.
- $t \le t_b^{sized}$ : the bumper is undersized, which means that the equations overestimate the performance of the shield. Indeed, the bumper is too thin to allow a complete breakup of the projectile. Depending on how undersized is the bumper; the particle upon impact will be partially fragmented to unfragmented. The Christiansen equations have been modified to model undersized bumper.

### The computation process implemented on Systema-Debris to compute the critical diameter to undersized bumper is described in [3]. Here after some elements.

A factor F2\* was introduced in the Christiansen equation to take into account thinner shields effects:

- If the shield thickness approaches zero, the back-up wall acts as a single wall.
- If the shield thickness is sized, the equation converges into the double-wall equation

This leads to a general formulation of F2\*:

$$F_{2}^{*} = \begin{cases} 1 \ if \ \frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}} \ge \left(\frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}}\right)_{crit} \\ r_{s/D} - 10 \ \frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}} \left(r_{s/D} - 1\right) + 25 \left(\frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}}\right)^{2} \left(r_{s/D} - 1\right) \ if \ \frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}} < \left(\frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}}\right)_{crit} \end{cases}$$
(4)

With  $r_{s/D}$  the ratio between the requirement wall thickness to stop the particle if no bumper is present and the requirement thickness when the bumper is properly sized  $\frac{t_b}{d_p} = \left(\frac{t_b}{d_p}\right)_{rrit}$  at a velocity of 7 km/s.





$$r_{s/D} = \frac{t_{w}, \text{ required at } (t_{b} = 0)}{t_{w}, \text{ required at } \left(\frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}} = \left(\frac{t_{b}}{d_{p}}\right)_{crit}\right)}$$
(5)

SYSTEMA

Finally solution is corrected (for V > 7 km/s):

$$d_{c \,Modify \,eq} = d_{c \,2 \,wall} * F_2^{* -2/3} \tag{6}$$

Figure II-20 illustrates the critical diameter as a function of impact particle velocity for different values of the bumper thickness  $t_b$ .



#### Figure II-20: Critical particle diameter as a function of impact velocity and various shield thicknesses—modified equations [4].

Using the "use Reimerdes equation for undersized bumpers" option in the Christiansen equation allows the user to model undersized bumper on Debris (Figure II-21).

Element configuration	Remove	Revert
Element choice		
Structure: One Wall		\$
Yield Stress		₩
47		ksi
Thickness		₩
0.001		m
Density		₩
2713		kg/m3
Standard equation for the compute	ation of PNF	
Implemented equation		\$
Equation for One Wall Cases		
Christiansen 1993		\$
Brinell hardness (BHN)		₩
95		
Sound Speed		₩
5.1		km/s
Equation for Two Walls Cases		
Christiansen 1993		\$
✓ Use Reimerdes equation for un	dersized bumpe	rs
Merge bumpers if the spacing i	s not correct	
Equation for Three Walls Cases		
No computation		\$
Equation for Craters Computation		
No Crater Computation		\$

Figure II-21: Reimerdes equation for undersized bumpers option.





#### b. Undersized thickness bumper

Christiansen equations is valid when the standoff between the first wall and the rear wall (S) must be high enough in regard of the critical diameter to allow the expansion of the debris cloud.

An option on Systema-Debris (Figure II-22) allow merging two shapes thickness is the standoff is not correct, by default S/d < 15. The user can change the ratio.

Element configuration	Remove Revert
Element choice	
Structure: One Wall	<b>\$</b>
Yield Stress	₩
47	ksi
Thickness	₩
0.001	m
Density	₩
2713	kg/m3
Standard equation for the computation	of PNF
Implemented equation	\$
Equation for One Wall Cases	
Christiansen 1993	\$
Brinell hardness (BHN)	₩
95	
Sound Speed	₩
5.1	km/s
Equation for Two Walls Cases	
Christiansen 1993	\$
	₩
Use Reimerdes equation for unders	ized bumpers
Merge bumpers if the spacing is not	correct
Limit for two walls merge in particle di	ameters 📈
15	dp
Equation for Three Walls Cases	
No computation	\$
Equation for Craters Computation	
No Crater Computation	\$

Figure II-22: Merge bumper if spacing is not correct





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 29 of 38

#### 1.4 SRL equation

The SRL equation is a ballistic limit equation developed for the case of a double wall configuration or a sandwich panel with honeycomb core placed in front of a back wall (cf Figure II-23).

The objective of this BLE is to consider explicitly the three plate thicknesses, materials and spacing and also the presence of MLI. Thus it can be used at a component level in order to predict the probability of no failure of equipment such as fuel and heat pipes, pressure vessels, electronic boxes, harness, and batteries placed behind the satellite structure wall. This equation is described in [6].



#### Figure II-23: SRL configuration

The critical projectile diameter in the ballistic velocity regime ( $V_n \le v_{t1,n}$ ) is given by:

$$d_{p,crit} = \left[\frac{\frac{(t_w^{\alpha} + t_b)}{K_{3S}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{y,ksi}}{40}\right)^{1/2} + t_{ob} + K_{MLI} \cdot t_{eq,MLI}}{0.6 \cdot (\cos \theta)^{\delta} \cdot \rho_p^{1/2} \cdot v^{2/3}}\right]^{\frac{18}{19}}$$

and in the hypervelocity regime  $(V_n \ge v_{t2,n})$  is:

$$d_{p,crit} = \frac{1.155 \left( S_1^{1/3} (t_b + K_{tw} \cdot t_w)^{2/3} + K_{S2} \cdot S_2^{\beta} \cdot t_w^{\gamma} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{-\varepsilon} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_{y,ksl}}{70} \right)^{1/3}}{K_{3D}^{2/3} \cdot \rho_p^{1/3} \cdot \rho_{ob}^{1/9} \cdot v^{2/3} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{\delta}}$$

In the shatter velocity regime a linear extrapolation is made between the critical diameter limits of these two regimes:

$$d_{p,crit} = d_{p,crit}(v_{t1}) + \frac{d_{p,crit}(v_{t2}) - d_{p,crit}(v_{t1})}{v_{t2} - v_{t1}}(v - v_{t1})$$

For further information on the use of this BLE, in particular the application to equipment, refer to [6].





#### 1.5 Crater sized equation

The parametric form of the Crater Size Equation for the penetration depth P is implemented into Systema-Debris:

SYSTEMA

$$P = k_1 \cdot d_p^{\lambda} \cdot \rho_p^{\beta} v^{\gamma} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{\xi} \cdot \rho_t^{\kappa}$$
(7)

Where

- dp = projectile diameter (cm)
- ρ<sub>p</sub> = projectile density (g/cm3)
- ρ<sub>t</sub> = target density (g/cm3)
- $\theta$  = impact angle from target normal (deg); note impact at q=0 deg is normal to the target.
- v = projectile velocity (km/s)

The diameter D of the crater is given by:

$$D = 2 \cdot k_c \cdot P \tag{8}$$

with Kc varying from 1 to 10 depending on the nature of the target.

It is possible to use the predefined Christiansen equation (Table II-3) or to modify the parameters of the equation directly into the Systema-Debris interface.

Equation	Kc	К1	β	γ	к	λ	ξ
Christiansen 1993 [3] $\rho_p/\rho_w < 1.5$	1	$5.24 \cdot BHN^{-1/4} \cdot C_s^{-2/3}$	1/2	2/3	-1/2	19/18	2/3

Table II-3: Crater equation parameter

For further information on the use of this equation refer to [4].





#### 4. Generation of a realistic debris/micrometeoroid environment

Various type of environment can be used, ranging from detailed to simplified isotropic environment on  $4\pi$  steradian. The STENVI format, recommended standard by the IADC, is used to feed the computation module. Environment models used are for example MASTER which is the ESA standard, ORDEM and MEME which are the NASA standards. Models generate fluxes of particles around the concerned orbit. Those fluxes give information about the particles velocity, density and size.

The STENVI is a standardized interface between MMOD environment models and damage prediction tools. It is the output format of MASTER. To use other environments, the user needs to build a STENVI file or convert the outputs of other environment tools like MEM.

STENVI file contains the flux contribution for each bin as a function of:

- impact azimuth,
- impact elevation,
- impact velocity,
- particle diameter,
- argument of true latitude,
- particle density.

<pre>\$ STANDARD ENVIRONMENT INTERFACE \$ \$ Interface Version STENVI 1.0 \$ \$ Environment Model MODNAME IMEM \$ \$ Environment Model MODNAME IMEM \$ \$ COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 \$ \$ \$ Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Version 1.0 \$ \$ \$ \$ Universion 1.0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>	ŧ						
<pre>#</pre>	ŧ		STA	NDARE	ENVIRO	ONMENT	INTERFACE
<pre># Interface Version STENVI 1.0 # # # Environment Model MODNAME IMEM # # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	ŧ						
<pre>STENVI 1.0 # # Environment Model MODNAME IMEM # # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	# Interface	e Versi	lon				
<pre># # Environment Model MODNAME IMEM # # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	STENVI	1.0					
<pre># Environment Model MODNAME IMEM # # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	ŧ						
<pre>MODNAME IMEM # # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	# Environme	ent Mod	iel				
<pre># # Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	MODNAME IN	MEM					
<pre># Run Comment (2 lines) COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0 # #</pre>	ŧ						
COMMENT Standard Environment Interface COMMENT Version 1.0	# Run Comme	ent (2	lines)				
COMMENT Version 1.0	COMMENT	Standar	d Environm	ent I	nterfac	e	
<pre># #</pre>	COMMENT	Version	1.0				
<pre>#</pre>	ŧ						
<pre># Begin and end of analysis time interval MISSBEGIN 2029 01 01 01 01 00 00 Begin [vvvv mm dd hh mm gg] MISSEND 2030 01 01 01 01 00 00 End [vvvv mm dd hh mm gg] # Target orbit SEMIAXIS 0.0 Semimaior axis [km] ECCENTRI 0.0 Eccentricity of the orbit [-] INCLIN 0.0 Orbit inclination [deg] RAAN 0.0 Right ascension of ascending node [deg] ARSPERI 0.0 Argument of perigee [deg] # #</pre>	ŧ			-< Mi	ssion H	Paramet	ers >
MISSBEGIN 2029       01       01       01       00       00       Begin [yyyy mm dd hh mm gg]         # Target orbit       #       Target orbit       #       #       Target orbit         SEMIAXIS       0.0       Semimalox axis [km]       ECCENTRI       0.0       Eccentricity of the orbit [-]         INCLIN       0.0       Orbit inclination [deg]       RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         #       #       #       #       #       #       #         #       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]       #       #         #       #       #       #       #       #         #       0.0       180.0       Azimuth [deg]       #         #       0.0       10.0       Velocity [km/s]       #         DIAMETER       48       1.00E-07       1.00E-02       Diameter [m]         LATITUDE       1       0.0       360.0       Argument of True Latitude [deg]         #       #       #       #       #       #         #       1       1.0       1.0       Density [g/cm^3]       #         #       No       Lower Border       Upper Border       #       #	# Begin and	d end o	of analysis	time	interv	7al	
MISSEND       2030       01       01       01       00       End [yyyy mm dd hh mm gg]         # Target orbit       SEMIAXIS       0.0       Semimalor axis [km]         ECCENTRI       0.0       Eccentricity of the orbit [-]         INCLIN       0.0       Orbit inclination [deg]         RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         ARGPERI       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]         #       #       *         #	MISSBEGIN	2029	01 01	01	00	00	Begin [ <u>yyyy</u> mm <u>dd hh</u> mm <u>ss</u> ]
<pre># Target orbit SEMIAXIS 0.0 Seminator axis [km] ECCENTRI 0.0 Eccentricity of the orbit [-] INCLIN 0.0 Orbit inclination [deg] RAAN 0.0 Right ascension of ascending node [deg] ARGPERI 0.0 Argument of perigee [deg] # #</pre>	MISSEND	2030	01 01	01	00	00	End [ <u>vvvv</u> mm dd hh mm ss]
SEMIAXIS       0.0       Seminajor axis [km]         ECCENTRI       0.0       Eccentricity of the orbit [-]         INCLIN       0.0       Orbit inclination [deg]         RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         ARGPERI       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]         #       #         #       Bin       Min         MAX       AZIMUTH       72       -180.0       180.0         AZIMUTH       72       -180.0       180.0       Azimuth [deg]         ELEVATION       36       -90.0       90.0       Elevation [deg]         VELOCITY       1       10.0       100.0       Velocity [km/s]         DIAMETER       48       1.00E-07       1.00E-02       Diameter [m]         LATITUDE       1       0.0       360.0       Argument of True Latitude [deg]         DENSITY       1       1.0       Density [g/cm^3]       #         #	# Target of	rbit					
ECCENTRI       0.0       Eccentricity of the orbit [-]         INCLIN       0.0       Orbit inclination [deg]         RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         ARGPERI       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]         #       #         #       #         #       Bin       Min         MAX       AZIMUTH       72       -180.0       180.0         AZIMUTH       72       -180.0       180.0       Azimuth [deg]         ELEVATION       36       -90.0       90.0       Elevation [deg]         VELOCITY       1       10.0       100.       Velocity [km/s]         DIAMETER       48       1.00E-07       1.00E-02       Diameter [m]         LATITUDE       1       0.0       360.0       Argument of True Latitude [deg]         DENSITY       1       1.0       Density [g/cm^3]       #         #	SEMIAXIS		0.0			Semin	ajor axis [km]
INCLIN       0.0       Orbit inclination [deg]         RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         ARGPERI       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]         #       #         #	ECCENTRI		0.0			Eccen	tricity of the orbit [-]
RAAN       0.0       Right ascension of ascending node [deg]         ARGPERI       0.0       Argument of perigee [deg]         #       #         #	INCLIN		0.0			Orbit	inclination [deg]
ARGPERI     0.0     Argument of perigee [deg]       #     #       #     Bin     Min       MAX     AZIMUTH     72     -180.0       180.0     Azimuth [deg]       ELEVATION     36     -90.0       90.0     ELevation [deg]       VELOCITY     1     10.0       100E-07     1.00E-02     Diameter [m]       LATITUDE     1     0.0     360.0       Argument of True Latitude [deg]       DENSITY     1     1.0       #     *       #       #     No     Lower Border       Upper Border       DISTAZI 1     -1.80E+02     -1.75E+02       DISTAZI 2     -1.75E+02     -1.70E+02	RAAN		0.0			Right	ascension of ascending node [deg]
<pre># # #</pre>	ARGPERI		0.0			Argum	ent of perigee [deg]
<pre>#</pre>	#						
#         Bin         Min         Max           AZIMUTH         72         -180.0         180.0         Azimuth [deg]           ELEVATION         36         -90.0         90.0         Elevation [deg]           VELOCITY         1         10.0         10.0         Velocity [km/s]           DIAMETER         48         1.00E-07         1.00E-02         Diameter [m]           LATITUDE         1         0.0         360.0         Argument of True Latitude [deg]           DENSITY         1         1.0         1.0         Density [g/cm^3]           #	ŧ		< Defi	nitic	n of th	ne outp	ut spectrum >
AZIMUTH 72 -180.0 180.0 Azimuth [deg] ELEVATION 36 -90.0 90.0 Elevation [deg] VELOCITY 1 10.0 10.0 Velocity [km/s] DIAMETER 48 1.00E-07 1.00E-02 Diameter [m] LATITUDE 1 0.0 360.0 Argument of True Latitude [deg] DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # #	#	Bin	Min		Max		
ELEVATION 36 -90.0 90.0 Elevation [deg] VELOCITY 1 10.0 10.0 Velocity [km/s] DIAMETER 48 1.00E-07 1.00E-02 Diameter [m] LATITUDE 1 0.0 360.0 Argument of True Latitude [deg] DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	AZIMUTH	72	-180.0	1	.80.0		Azimuth [deg]
VELOCITY 1 10.0 10.0 Velocity [km/s] DIAMETER 48 1.00E-07 1.00E-02 Diameter [m] LATITUDE 1 0.0 360.0 Argument of True Latitude [deg] DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	ELEVATION	36	-90.0		90.0		Elevation [deg]
DIAMETER 48 1.00E-07 1.00E-02 Diameter [m] LATITUDE 1 0.0 360.0 Argument of True Latitude [deg] DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	VELOCITY	1	10.0		10.0		Velocity [km/s]
LATITUDE 1 0.0 360.0 Argument of True Latitude [deg] DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	DIAMETER	48	1.00E-07	1.0	0E-02		Diameter [m]
DENSITY 1 1.0 1.0 Density [g/cm^3] # # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	LATITUDE	1	0.0	3	60.0		Argument of True Latitude [deg]
# # # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	DENSITY	1	1.0		1.0		Density [g/cm^3]
<pre># # Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02</pre>	<b>#</b>						
<pre># Impact Azimuth [deg]: Intervals # No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02</pre>	<b>‡</b>						
No Lower Border Upper Border DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	# Impact A:	zimuth	[deg]: Int	erval	.5		
DISTAZI 1 -1.80E+02 -1.75E+02 DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	# No	Lowe	er Border	Uppe	r Borde	er	
DISTAZI 2 -1.75E+02 -1.70E+02	DISTAZI 1	-1.80	)E+02 -1.	75E+0	2		
	DISTAZI 2	-1.75	5E+02 -1.	70E+0	2		

#### Figure II-24: Standard environment interface

For further information on the STENVI format see [[5]].





 Reference:
 UM.000254385.AIRB

 Issue:
 1

 Edition:
 28/09/2021

 Page:
 32 of 38

Table II-4 present the optimum bin definition parameters and Figure II-25 present the geometrical bin definition.

	Bin	Max	Min
Azimuth	36	-180	180
Elevation	18	-90	90
Velocity	40	0.5	70.5
Diameter	60	0.001	10
Latitude	1	0	360
Density	5	0	5

Table II-4: STENVI bin definition



Figure II-25: Bin definition





Reference: UM.000254385.AIRB Issue: 1 28/09/2021 Edition: Page: 33 of 38

#### 5. Penetration flux

Penetrating flux is the cumulative penetration flux that the sensitive element withstands during the mission, averaged over the surface.

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (FAt)_i$$
(9)

With:

- N = the average number of penetration of the equipment,
- **F** = the penetration flux on the mesh i (penetration/year/m2),
- . A = the surface of the mesh i (m2) and
- **t** = the mission time.

Once the user has created his model, mesh and environmental input files, the processing needs to be set up to launch the incident and penetration flux computation.

To launch the Debris computation, the user needs to:

1 – Double click or drag and drop the Debris module.



4 – Configure the Debris and common tab (Figure II-26).



Reference:	UM.000254385.AIRB
Issue:	1
Edition:	28/09/2021
Page:	34 of 38

New Processing Diagram edition	ØX	New Processing Diagram edition	0
Debris Compute probability of no failure		Debris Compute probability of no failure	
Debris Common		Debris Common	
RUN PARAMETERS	levert -	RUN PARAMETERS	Revert
Ray tracing Mission information		Ray tracing Mission information	
Ray number per Bin	<b>≁</b> ∽	Mission Duration	<b>≁</b> ≺
1		1	year
Computation method for Ray Fluxes		Velocity vector	<b>≁</b>
Average on Bin	<b>\$</b>	X 1	
Random seed	<b>≁</b> ∽	YO	
876743		7.0	
Re-init random seed		Earth direction vector	[*] عط
No	<b>\$</b>	Earth direction vector	
		YO	
		Z [-1	
INFORMATION		INFORMATION	
Follow selection Cancel Close Apply Apply	and close	▼ Follow selection Cancel Close Apply	Apply and close

SYSTEMA

Figure II-26: Run parameters of Systema-Debris

#### **Ray tracing**

It allows selecting options concerning the "ray tracing" computation.

The random seed corresponds to the seed used in the algorithm which defines the position of the rays on an element.

If the seed is reinitialized, the random numbers sequence is the same for each element of the model. It allows keeping the same results when moving the objects in the tree structure of the model.

#### **Mission information**

It allows defining the pointing of the satellite in the model reference frame and the duration of the mission.

Debris can now be launch.





#### 6. Probability of Failure

From the penetration flux, the probability of failure (if the failure is the penetration) can be computed using a Poison law.

 $P = 1 - e^{-N}$ (10)

with  $P \in [0, 1]$  the probability of failure of the equipment due to a micrometeroid impact.

Systema-Debris has two output types to support analysis: a text file (.out) and the possibility to visualize a color risk contour on the 3D geometry (.H5).

The text file contains the following information:

- Area [m<sup>2</sup>]: Area of the selected mesh.
- Number of Craters [1/m<sup>2</sup>/yr]: Number of Craters with a penetrating depth larger than the user specified depth.
- Number of Direct Impacts [1/m<sup>2</sup>/yr]: Number of particles impacting directly the mesh.
- Number of Penetrations [1/m²/yr]: Number of particles with a diameter larger than the associated critical diameter.
- Number of Shadowed Impacts [1/m²/yr]: Number of particles encountering one or more elements during backwards ray tracing.
- Relative crater area: Area of Craters with a penetrating depth larger than the user specified depth relative to the area of the selected mesh.

Figure II-27 shows an example of the output file of Systema-Debris.



Figure II-27: Results output example computed by Debris

Systema-Debris generates an output containing the same outputs as the text file for each mesh. This information can then be displayed on the meshing to produce images like these shown in Figure II-28. Note that the colors in this example are set to show high risk as red and low risk as blue with other colors for intermediate risk values. The color-risk scale can be modified.





Reference:	UM.000254385.AIRB
Issue:	1
Edition:	28/09/2021
Page:	36 of 38



Figure II-28: Debris color risk images

Systema-Debris can also display information on each ray like the ray type (Figure II-29), the velocity or the number of impacts (Figure II-30).



Figure II-29: Systema-Debris ray type display



Figure II-30: Systema-Debris ray number of impact display





#### 7. Generalities

The following tables present the inputs and outputs of Systema-Debris:

Module	File extension	
Debris	.sei	Environment files description.

#### Table II-5: INPUT File extension

Module	File extension	
Debris	.h5	Used to display the impact information. These file cannot be deactivated.
	.out	File containing the probabilities for the specified groups. This file can be opened with standard text editor. This file cannot be deactivated.
	.log	General information file gathering messages written during the computation. If the computation does not go to an end, an error message or a warning may be found in this file. This file cannot be deactivated.
	Optional: .ray.h5	ray-tracing results

Table II-6: OUTPUT File extension





#### 8. References

- [1] Christiansen EL. Design and performance equations for advanced meteoroid and debris shields. International Journal of Impact Engineering
- [2] Reimerdes HG, Noelke D, Schaefer FK. (2006). Modified Cour-Palais/Christiansen damage equations for doublewall structures. International Journal of Impact Engineering.
- [3] C. Puillet. (2019). Evaluation of Ballistic Limit Equations for standard Multi Layers Insulations with stand-off to units.
- [4] Eric L. Christiansen (2009). Handbook for Designing MMOD Protectio. NASA Johnson Space Center.
- [5] D. Nölke, H.G Reimerdes (2005). A standardized interface between orbital debris environment models and damage prediction tools.
- [6] Frank Schäfer, Robin Putzar, Shannon Ryan, Michel Lambert (2009). Improving the significance of MMOD risk analysis by application of the SRL ballistic limit equation. Fraunhofer Institute for High-Speed Dynamics.