1.1.5 Investments

Dassault Aviation

The Company entered into an agreement with the French State pursuant to which the Company:
- grants the French State a right of first offer in case of the sale of all or part of its shareholding in Dassault Aviation; and
- commits to consult with the French State prior to making any decision at any shareholders’ meeting of Dassault Aviation.

1.1.6 Insurance

The Company's Insurance Risk Management function ("IRM") is established to proactively and efficiently respond to risks that can be treated by insurance techniques. IRM is responsible for all corporate insurance activities and related protection for the Company and is empowered to deal directly with the insurance and re-insurance markets via the Company's inhouse broker entity. IRM's continuous task in 2019 was to further implement and improve efficient and appropriate corporate and project-related insurance solutions.

IRM's mission includes the definition and implementation of the Company's strategy for insurance risk management to help ensure that harmonised insurance policies and standards are in place for all insurable risks worldwide for the Company. A systematic review, monitoring and reporting procedure applicable to all Divisions is in place to assess the exposure and protection systems applicable to all the Company’s sites. The Company's insurance programmes cover high risk exposures related to its assets and liabilities.

1.1.7 Legal and Arbitration Proceedings

The Company is involved from time to time in various legal and arbitration proceedings in the ordinary course of its business, the most significant of which are described below. Other than as described below, the Company is not aware of any material governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings (including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened), during a period covering at least the previous twelve months which may have, or have had in the recent past significant effects on the Company's or Airbus SE's financial position or profitability.

Regarding the Company’s provisions policy, the Company recognises provisions for litigation and claims when (i) it has a present obligation from legal actions, governmental investigations, proceedings and other claims resulting from past events that are pending or may be instituted or asserted in the future against the Company, (ii) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle such obligation and (iii) a reliable estimate of the amount of such obligation can be made. Although the Company believes that adequate provisions have been made to cover current or
contemplated general and specific litigation and regulatory risks, no assurance can be provided that such provisions will be sufficient. For the amount of provisions for litigation and claims, please refer to the “— Notes to the IFRS Consolidated Financial Statements — Note 24: Provisions, Contingent Assets and Contingent Liabilities”.

**WTO**

Although the Company is not a party, the Company is supporting the European Commission in litigation before the WTO. Following its unilateral withdrawal from the 1992 EU-US Agreement on Trade in Large Civil Aircraft, the US lodged a request on 6 October 2004 to initiate proceedings before the WTO. On the same day, the EU launched a parallel WTO case against the US in relation to its subsidies to Boeing.

Following a series of interim WTO panel decisions, in May 2018 the WTO held that the EU achieved compliance in respect of the majority of the subsidies at issue but considered that some remaining obligations required adjustments. The Company and the EU took corrective actions that were reviewed by a WTO panel. The decision of that panel is currently being appealed. In the meantime, the US requested authority to impose countermeasures worth US$ 11.2 billion per year, commensurate with its estimate of the adverse effects caused by the EU subsidies. The WTO did not agree with the US estimate and authorised the US to impose US$ 7.5 billion in annual countermeasures. The United States Trade Representative (“USTR”) imposed tariffs on a range of imports to the US from the EU including 10% on the importation of large civil aircraft from the EU. Those tariffs went into effect on 18 October 2019. On 14 February 2020, the USTR announced the US is increasing the additional duty rate imposed on aircraft imported from the EU to 15%, effective 18 March 2020.

The tariffs could have a material impact on the Financial Statements, business and operations of the Company. At this stage it is too early to determine the full extent of any financial impact on the Company. Duties on the importation of Airbus products into the US could result in (i) increased costs for the aerospace and airline industries as well as other industries that rely on air transport, (ii) weakening demand for new aircraft and negatively affecting the financial condition of air carriers and lessors, (iii) decisions to defer, reject or reschedule the delivery of new aircraft or limit the routes upon which new aircraft will be used, (iv) increased costs to consumers, (v) retaliation by the EU with its own import duties to be applied to US products, and/or (vi) damage to the Company’s business or reputation via negative publicity adversely affecting the Company’s prospects in the commercial market place.

Several years of proceedings also identified significant unlawful support to Boeing. In its most recent decision on the matter in March 2019, the WTO found that the steps by the US to address US subsidies to Boeing were inadequate. The WTO Appellate Body also found that additional US federal and state programmes, such as the Foreign Sales Corporation (“FSC”) and Washington State tax reductions constitute illegal subsidies. Consequently, the EU initiated its request for the authorisation of annual countermeasures amounting to up to US$ 12 billion and published a preliminary list of products from the US on which the EU may take countermeasures, which includes US aircraft. The actual amount of duties to which the EU may be entitled will be determined at the conclusion of WTO arbitration proceedings. The imposition of equivalent or greater tariffs on aircraft imports into Europe is likely.

Exact timing of further steps in the WTO litigation process is subject to further rulings and to negotiations between the US and the EU.

**GPT**

In August 2012, the UK Serious Fraud Office (“SFO”) announced that it had opened a formal criminal investigation in relation to GPT Special Project Management Ltd (“GPT”), a subsidiary operating in Saudi Arabia that the Company acquired in 2007. The investigation relates to issues initially raised by a whistleblower concerning contractual arrangements originating prior to GPT’s acquisition and continuing thereafter. The Company has engaged with the SFO throughout and continues to actively cooperate with the investigation.

**Eurofighter Austria**

In 2017, the Austrian Federal Ministry of Defence raised criminal allegations against Airbus Defence and Space GmbH and Eurofighter Jagdflugzeug GmbH for wilful deception and fraud in the context of the sale of the Eurofighter aircraft to Austria and respective damage claims. After the Austrian Federal Ministry of Defence raised its criminal allegations, the Austrian public prosecutor opened an investigation against Airbus Defence and Space GmbH, Eurofighter Jagdflugzeug GmbH and former and current employees of the two entities including related to the corresponding offset obligations. The Company has filed several submissions to the Austrian Public Prosecutor in response to the allegations of deception in the procurement of Eurofighter combat aircraft made by the Austrian Defence Minister. The Company is cooperating fully with the authorities.

**Investigation by the UK SFO, France’s PNF, US Departments of State and Justice and Related Commercial Litigation**

The Company reached final agreements (“the agreements”) with the French Parquet National Financier (“PNF”), the UK Serious Fraud Office (“SFO”), and the US Department of Justice (“DoJ”) resolving the authorities’ investigations into allegations of bribery and corruption, as well as with the US Department of State (“DoS”) and the DoJ to resolve their investigations into inaccurate and misleading filings made with the DoS pursuant to the US International Traffic in Arms Regulations (“ITAR”). The agreements were approved and made public on 31 January 2020.

Under the terms of the agreements, the Company has agreed to pay penalties of € 3,597,766,786 plus interest and costs to the French, UK and US authorities. This is recognised in the Company’s 2019 accounts. The settlements with each authority are as follows: PNF € 2,085,137,455, the SFO € 983,974,311, the DoJ € 526,150,496 and the DoS € 9,009,008 of which € 4,504,504 may be used for approved remedial compliance measures.
Under the terms of the *Convention Judiciaire d’Intérêt Public* ("CJIP") with the PNF, the Company has an obligation to submit its compliance programme to targeted audits carried out by the Agence Française Anticorruption ("AFA") over a period of three years.

Under the terms of the Deferred Prosecution Agreement ("DPA") with the SFO, no independent compliance monitor will be imposed on the Company in light of the continuing mentorship to be conducted by the AFA. Under the terms of the DPA with the DoJ, no independent compliance monitor will be imposed on Airbus under the agreement with the DoJ, but the Company will periodically report on its continuing compliance enhancement progress during the three year term of the DPA and carry out further reviews as required by the DoJ.

The agreements result in the suspension of prosecution for a duration of three years whereupon the prosecutions will be extinguished if the Company complies with their terms throughout the period, including the payment of penalties.

Under the terms of the Consent Agreement with the DoS, the DoS has agreed to settle all civil violations of the ITAR outlined in the Company’s voluntary disclosures identified in the Consent Agreement, and the Company has agreed to retain an independent export control compliance officer, who will monitor the effectiveness of the Company’s export control systems and its compliance with the ITAR for a duration of three years.

Any breach of the terms of the agreements by the Company could lead to rescission by the authorities of the terms of the agreements and reopening of the prosecutions. Prosecution could result in the imposition of further monetary penalties or other sanctions including additional tax liability and could have a material impact on the Financial Statements, business and operations of the Company.

In addition to any pending investigation in other jurisdictions, the factual disclosures made in the course of reaching the agreements may result in the commencement of additional investigations in other jurisdictions. Such investigations could also result in (i) civil claims or claims by shareholders against the Company, (ii) adverse consequences on the Company’s ability to obtain or continue financing for current or future projects, (iii) limitations on the eligibility of group companies for certain public sector contracts, and/or (iv) damage to the Company’s business or reputation via negative publicity adversely affecting the Company’s prospects in the commercial market place.

The Company will continue to cooperate with the authorities in the future, pursuant to the agreements and to enhance its strong Ethics & Compliance culture within the Company.

Several consultants and other third parties have initiated commercial litigation and arbitration against the Company seeking relief. The agreements reached with authorities may lead to additional commercial litigation and arbitration against the Company and tax liability in the future, which could have a material impact on the Financial Statements, business and operations of the Company.

### ECA Financing

The Company and the ECAs reached agreement on a process under which it is able to resume making applications for ECA-backed financing for its customers across the Company on a case-by-case basis.

### Other Investigations

The Company is cooperating fully with the authorities in a judicial investigation in France related to Kazakhstan. In this spirit, the Company asked to be interviewed by the investigating magistrates and has been granted the status of “assisted witness” in the investigation.

On 17 September 2019, the Company self-reported to German authorities potentially improper advance receipt and communication of confidential customer information by employees of Airbus Defence and Space GmbH. The information concerned relates to two future German government procurement projects in the programme line Communications, Intelligence and Security. The self-disclosure by the Company follows an ongoing internal review with the support of an external law firm. Both the German Ministry of Defence and the Munich public prosecutor announced their intention to open an investigation into the matter. The Company will continue to fully cooperate with relevant authorities. The investigation could have an impact on Airbus Defence and Space GmbH’s ability to participate in future public procurement projects in Germany and may have other legal consequences.

### Other Disputes

In the course of a commercial dispute, the Company received a statement of claim by the Republic of China (Taiwan) alleging liability for refunding part of the purchase price of a large contract for the supply of missiles by subsidiary Matra Défense S.A.S., which the customer claims it was not obliged to pay. An arbitral award was rendered on 12 January 2018 with a principal amount of €104 million plus interest and costs against Matra Défense S.A.S. Post-award proceedings are currently underway.
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